Markle Connecting for Health Common Framework for Private and Secure Health Information Exchange

Policies in Practice

Overview

MARKLE

CONNECTING FOR HEALTH

The document you are reading is a Markle Connecting for Health Common Framework Policies in Practice for Health Information Sharing (Policies in Practice) resource which supplements the Markle Connecting for Health Common Framework for Private and Secure Health Information Exchange (Markle Common Framework) available in its full and most current version at www.markle.org/health/markle-common-framework/connecting-professionals. The Markle Common Framework includes a set of foundational policy and technology guides published in 2006. In April 2012, a set of Policies in Practice was published to further specify these foundational documents and address a range of critical health information sharing implementation needs identified by experts working in the field.



©2012, Markle Foundation

This work was originally published as part of the Markle Connecting for Health Common Framework Policies in Practice for Health Information Sharing and is made available subject to the terms of a <u>License</u> which may be viewed in its entirety at: http://www.markle.org/health/markle-common-framework/license. You may make copies of this work; however, by copying or exercising any other rights to the work, you accept and agree to be bound by the terms of the License. All copies of this work must reproduce this copyright information and notice.

POLICIES IN PRACTICE

Overview: Markle Connecting for Health Common Framework for Private and Secure Health Information Exchange

Since the launch of Markle Connecting for Health in 2002, a nationwide, interoperable, private and secure health information sharing environment has become widely recognized as necessary for achieving high quality health care. Such an environment—in which information is available to enable each participant to make better health and health care decisions—holds promise for dramatic improvement in the quality and cost-effectiveness of care.

Those implementing health information sharing efforts¹ quickly learn that achieving such a health information sharing environment for high-quality, cost-effective care will require trust. A recent survey commissioned by the Markle Foundation found that the privacy of health information is a significant concern for the American public and for doctors. Overwhelming majorities of both groups expressed concerns about privacy and support privacy-protective practices, such as letting people see who has accessed their records, notifying people affected by information breaches, and giving people mechanisms to exercise choice and to correct their information.²

The Markle Connecting for Health Common Framework for Private and Secure Health Information Exchange (Markle Common Framework), published in 2006, describes a threshold set of policy and technology practices for health information sharing to establish core privacy principles, sound network design, and accountability and oversight while supporting variation and encouraging innovation. A diverse group of health care leaders developed the principles, policies, and practices of the Markle Common Framework based on U.S. Fair Information Practice Principles (FIPPs) to lay a blueprint for an integrated and comprehensive framework of trust.

The Markle Connecting for Health Common Framework Policies in Practice for Health

Information Sharing (Policies in Practice) <INSERT LINK> is an addendum to the Markle

Common Framework. See page 2 for a visual representation of the relationship of these Policies

¹ The Policies in Practice apply the term "health information sharing effort" broadly to refer to any initiative that supports the electronic exchange of health information between data holders. Similar terminology includes "health information exchange (HIE)", "regional health information organization (RHIO)", and "sub-network organization (SNO)".

² Markle Foundation. *The Public and Doctors Overwhelmingly Agree on Health IT Priorities to Improve Patient Care*. January 2011: http://www.markle.org/publications/1461-public-and-doctors-overwhelmingly-agree-health-it-priorities-improve-patient-care.

in Practice resources to the original Markle Common Framework. The Policies in Practice were developed through a collaborative process by a diverse group of health care leaders with hands on experience implementing health information sharing efforts, including state health IT leaders, legal experts, technology experts, and consumer representatives. This compendium of practices further specifies the Markle Common Framework to address a range of critical implementation needs for health information sharing in today's rapidly changing environment.

The Policies in Practice address the following areas:

- Key Laws and Regulations: Changes Relevant to the Markle Common Framework. Highlights modifications to relevant privacy laws over the last five years and addresses them in the targeted policy areas of the Markle Common Framework.
- Consent: Implementing the Individual Participation and Control Principle.
 Provides context for implementing the privacy principle of Individual Participation and
 Control and suggests ways for health information sharing efforts to establish their own
 policies and best practices.
- Individual Access: Connecting Patients with Their Health Information.

 Identifies and specifies opportunities for addressing individual access and engagement in relation to health information sharing.
- Governance of Health Information Sharing Efforts: Achieving Trust and Interoperability with Meaningful Consumer Participation. Clarifies the objectives of governance, detailing attributes of governance processes and providing specific guidance with respect to the role of consumers.
- Policy Aware Procurement Strategies and Practices: Asking the Right
 Questions and Reaching the Right Answers. Details important elements to apply
 in technology procurement efforts, so that required policies are part of the acquisition
 and implementation of technology.
- Mechanisms for Oversight, Accountability, and Enforcement: The Model Contract and More. Identifies issues and decisions for data-sharing agreements and other policy development related to oversight and accountability, and updates the Model Contract resources, M-1 and M-2, in the context of new federal and state laws and implementation requirements.

This document provides an overview of the Policies in Practice. It describes some of the key changes in the health information sharing landscape since 2006, outlines some of the needs that led to the Policies in Practice, and describes the Policies in Practice, along with their key premises. For those unfamiliar with the Markle Common Framework, this overview document provides direction on how to begin considering and applying the Markle Common Framework.

What is Markle Connecting for Health?

Markle Connecting for Health is a public-private collaborative of leaders and innovators from more than 100 organizations representing a diverse array of public, private, and not-for-profit groups. Participants are listed at: http://www.markle.org/health/experts-impact/markle-connecting-health-steering-group

Where should decision makers go first to learn more about the Markle Common Framework, including how and why it was developed and its key principles?

The Markle Common Framework outlines one collaborative view, deeply informed by a range of experts, on policy and technical questions that need to be addressed within the context of individual health information sharing efforts.

The <u>Markle Common Framework for Private and Secure Information Exchange</u> consists of 17 mutually reinforcing technical documents and specifications, code, testing interfaces, privacy and security policies, and model contract language.

<u>The Markle Common Framework: Overview and Principles</u> describes the rationale, context, and process for development of the Markle Common Framework and introduces the key attributes and policy and technical principles that are foundational to all Markle Common Framework resources.

Why were the Policies in Practice resources developed?

The health information sharing landscape has changed dramatically since release of the Markle Common Framework in 2006. Over recent years, the level of federal leadership, new regulation, and public investment around health information sharing have increased substantially. In addition, use of health IT has grown among providers and individuals alike.

Early efforts to establish an infrastructure for health information sharing were bolstered in 2004 through an Executive Order which established the Department of Health and Human Services' (HHS) Office of the National Coordinator for Health Information Technology (ONC) and made possible efforts for standards harmonization, use case development, and the certification of electronic health record (EHR) products. By 2006, the health care sector was struggling to overcome challenges of policy, technology and capital investment to advance health information sharing.

In response to many of these challenges, Markle Connecting for Health released the Markle Common Framework in 2006, setting forth foundational policies and practices for health information sharing to improve health and health care, while protecting privacy and security and encouraging innovation. A diverse group of leaders with expertise in health care delivery, technology, privacy and the consumer experience developed the Markle Common Framework through a collaborative effort.

As health information sharing has matured, new lessons and additional challenges have emerged. Interviews conducted by Markle with health information sharing leaders across the country identified an initial set of high-priority resource needs to support current health information sharing efforts.³ A planning group,⁴ followed by the establishment of the Markle Connecting for Health *Health Information Exchange Advisory Committee* (Markle HIE Advisory Committee), convened to refine this list of priorities and to develop complementary resources.

The result is this set of Policies in Practice resources, developed through a collaborative process, which aim to meet the needs identified by implementers. The Policies in Practice build on the Markle Common Framework and are intended to provide further detail for health information sharing efforts, regardless of their funding source, demographics, or governance structure. Given the varying needs of decision makers and the complex issues involved, each Policies in Practice takes a unique approach, using questions and answers, tables, crosswalks, and step-by-step guidance to serve as a useful resource for the issue at hand.

What are some key premises of the Policies in Practice resources?

The Policies in Practice are an adjunct to the Markle Common Framework, not a replacement. Each Policies in Practice document aims to further specify the Markle Common Framework, to address a range of prioritized implementation needs, identified by the outreach done in the planning phase and the Committee.

The Policies in Practice are not toolkits or stand-alone solutions. The elements of the Markle Common Framework and the Policies in Practice focus only on attributes that are minimally necessary for interoperability of technology and policy, to support trusted information sharing at the national level, while allowing for state, regional, and local variability. They should be considered together and used in context, complying with all relevant federal and state laws and regulations, and in light of current operations and objectives. The Key Laws and Regulations: Changes Relevant to the Markle Common Framework < HYPERLINK >. Policies in Practice provides insight on how relevant privacy laws have changed over recent years.

³ Interviews were conducted between July 2010 and October 2011 with nearly 20 health leaders involved in health information sharing efforts.

⁴ Planning group members: Phyllis Albritton, Colorado Regional Health Information Organization; Allen Briskin, Pillsbury Winthrop Shaw Pittman LLP; Carol Diamond, Markle Foundation; Vicki Estrin, C3 Consulting, LLC; Liza Fox-Wylie, Colorado Regional Health Information Organization; Gerry Hinkley, Pillsbury Winthrop Shaw Pittman LLP; Ted Kremer, Greater Rochester Regional Health Information Organization; Alice Leiter, National Partnership for Women and Families; Linda Malek, Moses & Singer LLP; Deven McGraw, Center for Democracy and Technology; Amanda Parsons, New York City Department of Health and Menta l Hygiene; David Patterson, South Carolina State Budget and Control Board; Jan Root, Utah Health Information Network; David Sharp, Maryland Health Care Commission; Marcy Wilder, Hogan Lovells; Claudia Williams, Office of the National Coordinator for Health Information Technology.

A set of clear and explicit health goals is essential for any health information

sharing effort. A successful 21st century health information sharing effort cannot be achieved by policy and technology alone. It needs to meet the community's goals as it serves both the personal care setting and public needs and values. Specific goals for health improvement and for cost-effectiveness must be clear and explicit, subject to public discussion, and architected into policy and technology at the outset. Without clear goals, health information sharing efforts risk becoming an exercise in process and reporting, rather than an opportunity to improve health and efficiency. All participants in the effort should support these goals.

Health information sharing does not equate with access to complete health

information. Although electronic storage and sharing holds promise in improving access to health information, many limitations of the paper medical record environment will persist in an electronic environment. Individuals may not know or have access to all the places that hold their information; information may be lost or unavailable; and individuals can withhold information from their providers or ask that certain information not be shared. Even in an electronic environment, providers and patients alike should be educated on the likelihood and consequences of incomplete information.

Conclusion

While public and private sector initiatives have been important to advancing widespread, trusted health information sharing, the need for a complete "framework" remains. The Markle Common Framework is one such framework, created to offer a comprehensive set of policy and technology attributes to establish a trusted health information sharing environment.

In developing the Policies in Practice, we recognize that the health information sharing environment is constantly changing. As policy, technology, and health information sharing needs and capabilities continue to evolve, it is critical to incorporate new knowledge and lessons learned. The Policies in Practice are a compendium of resources that are designed to further specify, clarify and update the Markle Common Framework to help those implementing health information sharing efforts realize the nationwide, interoperable, private, and secure health information sharing environment necessary for achieving high quality, cost-effective health care.

Acknowledgements

Markle Connecting for Health HIE Advisory Committee

Committee Members

Phyllis Albritton

Colorado Regional Health Information Organization

Hunt Blair*

Department of Vermont Health Access

Allen Briskin, JD

Pillsbury Winthrop Shaw Pittman, LLP

Jennifer Covich Bordenick

eHealth Initiative

Carol C. Diamond, MD, MPH

Markle Foundation

Joyce Dubow

AARP Office of Policy and Strategy

Vicki Estrin

C3 Consulting, LLC

Lorraine Fernandes

IBM Information Management

Linda Fischetti, RN, MS

United States Veterans Health Administration

Liza Fox-Wylie

Colorado Regional Health Information Organization

Mark Frisse, MD, MBA, MSc

Vanderbilt Center for Better Health

Melissa Goldstein, JD

The George Washington University

Medical Center

Adrian Gropper, MD

MedCommons

Jim Hansen

Dossia Consortium

Joseph Heyman

OptumInsight

Gerry Hinkley, JD

Pillsbury Winthrop Shaw Pittman, LLP

Zachery Jiwa*

Louisiana Department of Health & Hospitals,

State of Louisiana

Ted Kremer

Greater Rochester Regional Health

Information Organization

Alice Leiter, JD

National Partnership for Women & Families

Patricia MacTaggart

The George Washington University School

of Public Health and Health Services

Linda Malek, JD

Moses & Singer, LLP

Janet Marchibroda

Health Information Technology Initiative,

Bipartisan Policy Center

Deven McGraw, JD, MPH, LLM

Health Privacy Project, Center for Democracy

& Technology

Amanda Heron Parsons,* MD

Primary Care Information Project,

NYC Department of Health & Mental Hygiene

Gina Bianco Perez, MPA

Advances in Management, Inc.

Carol Raphael, MPA

Visiting Nurse Service of New York

Carol Robinson*

Oregon Office of Health Policy & Research

Jan Root

Utah Health Information Network

Will Ross

Redwood Mednet

Scott Schumacher, PhD

IBM Information Management

Raymond Scott

Axolotl Corporation

Randy Sermons

David Sharp

Center for Health Information Technology,

Maryland Health Care Commission

Jenny Smith

Louisiana Health Care Quality Forum

Paul Uhrig

Surescripts

Stefaan Verhulst

Markle Foundation

Marcy Wilder, JD

Hogan Lovells

Staff

Laura Bailyn, JD

Markle Foundation

Rebekah Rockwood, MPH

Markle Foundation

Jill Schulmann, MS

Markle Foundation

Sam Sheikh. MS

Markle Foundation

Sarah Stewart

C3 Consulting, LLC

Meredith Taylor, MPH

Markle Foundation

*Note: State and Federal employees participate in the Markle HIE Advisory Committee but make no endorsement.

We thank the members of the Markle Connecting for Health HIE Advisory Committee for providing their time and expertise to the development of the Markle Connecting for Health Common Framework Policies in Practice for Health Information Sharing resources.

We particularly thank Vicki Estrin of C3 Consulting for managing this project, and the lead authors of these resources: Allen Briskin, JD, Pillsbury Winthrop Shaw Pittman, LLP; Alice Leiter, JD, National Partnership for Women and Families; Linda Malek, JD, Moses & Singer, LLP; Deven McGraw, JD, MPH, LLM, Center for Democracy & Technology; and Stefaan Verhulst, Markle Foundation.