



Context is King and Clarity is Prime Minister

Presented to: Redwood Mednet's Connecting California Conference 2011
By Laura Landry, Executive Director, laura.landry@whinit.org, 562-436-2923

Goals

- ▶ Set the Stage for **ACTIONABLE** privacy and security discussions in California and the U.S.
- ▶ Build momentum and consensus for forward movement
- ▶ Recruit participants to build out the rational roadmap and model(s)

Definitions

- **Context**

- 1. The circumstances that form the setting for an event, statement, or idea, and in terms of which it can be fully understood and assessed.
- 2. The parts of something written or spoken that immediately precede and follow a word or passage and clarify its meaning.

- **Privacy**

- a : the quality or state of being apart from company or observation : seclusion
b : freedom from unauthorized intrusion <one's right to privacy>
- 2 archaic : a place of seclusion
- 3a : secrecy b : a private matter : secret

- **Confidentiality**

- 1: marked by intimacy or willingness to confide <a *confidential* tone>
- 2: private, secret <*confidential* information>
- 3: entrusted with confidences <a *confidential* clerk>
- 4: containing information whose unauthorized disclosure could be prejudicial to the national interest — compare secret, top secret

The Mythological Origins

- ▶ **Privacy and Security**
 - ▶ A California citizen has a “right to privacy”
 - ▶ Except when it is bargained away under contract for some reason
- ▶ **The nation is in an uproar around consumer privacy**
 - ▶ The average person assumes healthcare has what it needs to do their jobs
- ▶ **Healthcare has played fast and loose with patient information**
 - ▶ Sometimes intentionally selling patient data for profit
 - ▶ Often just making mistakes with the best of intentions

The Furor

- ▶ **It's a NUMBERS game**
 - ▶ If someone loses a paper chart
 - ▶ It's one person's data
 - ▶ If someone loses a disc of data or is hacked
 - ▶ It's data in the thousands
 - ▶ And that data can be used to steal identities or commit fraud
- ▶ **Do organizations need to be diligent? Yes!**
- ▶ **Have we jumped the shark? Yes!**

Expectations of Privacy -- Demystified

Context	Financial Responsibility	Privacy Expectation	Expectation of Confidentiality
EmergencyRoom	3 rd Party	Low	High
Encounter	3 rd Party	Low	High
Encounter	Patient	High	High
Encounter	No Pay/Charity	Low	High

Further, Privacy from WHOM?

- ▶ Physicians? No
 - ▶ Care team? No
 - ▶ Employers? Yes
 - ▶ Payers? Yes
-
- ▶ Do my privacy requirements change from time to time?
 - ▶ Yes

Higher Standard for Electronic Data

- ▶ See slide that says “thousands of records with identity information”
 - ▶ Current paper breaches are one at a time, or at worst hundreds at a time
- ▶ Banks manage to keep private records private
 - ▶ Healthcare delivery resources have varying levels of expertise
 - ▶ It is an unfunded mandate – nobody pays a “security transaction fee” to healthcare providers

So what are we all afraid of?

- ▶ **Lawsuits**
 - ▶ Deep pockets pay high fines
- ▶ **No industry agreement on what works**
 - ▶ Or even what needs protecting
- ▶ **Nobody knows what “Enough” is**

Protect the data – it's a reasonable request

- ▶ The HIT industry does not put a high emphasis on determining the requirements
- ▶ The HIT users do not put a high priority on having their vendors solve the problems
- ▶ At the most basic level, the way we think about protecting data is archaic, at best
- ▶ This isn't rocket science, we have industrial best practices from many places

So what's the Roadmap?

- ▶ **Transparency**

- ▶ Why do people exchange data and for what purposes
- ▶ Auditability – the patient should know the roles of people who have accessed their data

- ▶ **Precision**

- ▶ The industry must agree to the scenarios, and use the right terms to describe the access to data
- ▶ Impermissible uses must be clearly spelled out

- ▶ **Working together to drive the HIT industry to develop “self-aware data” so that rules can be applied to it for a variety of circumstances**